

Panaji, 18th April, 2013 (Chaitra 28, 1935)

SERIES II No. 3

OFFICIAL GAZETTE



GOVERNMENT OF GOA

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

Note:- There are four Extraordinary issues to the Official Gazette, Series II No. 2 dated 12-04-2013 as follows:-

- 1) *Extraordinary dated 16-04-2013 from pages 47 to 50 regarding Form I & Notification from Department of Elections (Goa State Election Commission).*
- 2) *Extraordinary (No. 2) dated 16-04-2013 from pages 51 to 52 regarding Order from Department of Urban Development (Directorate of Municipal Administration).*
- 3) *Extraordinary (No. 3) dated 17-04-2013 from pages 53 to 54 regarding Corrigendum from Department of Urban Development (Directorate of Municipal Administration).*
- 4) *Extraordinary (No. 4) dated 17-04-2013 from pages 55 to 56 regarding Corrigenda from Department of Urban Development (Directorate of Municipal Administration).*

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services

Directorate of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services

Order

No. 2/13/95-AH(part-II)/13-14

Government is pleased to extend the ad hoc promotion of Dr. D. R. P. Menezes, Dy. Director (Epidemiology) made vide Order 2/13/95-AH (part-II)/12-13/3685 dated 10-10-2012 for further period of six months w.e.f. 11-04-2013 to 11-10-2013 or till such time the appointment is made on regular basis, whichever is earlier.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Dr. (B. Menezes), Director & ex officio Joint Secretary (AH).

Panaji, 12th April, 2013.

Department of Co-operation

Office of the Registrar of Co-operative Societies

Order

No. 48-8-2001-TS-RCS/III/1102

In exercise of the powers conferred on me under Section 86(1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Act, 2001, read with Rule 116(1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003, I, J. B. Bhingui, Registrar of Co-operative Societies Goa pleased to appoint Adv., as Registrar's Nominee for deciding the disputes arising in any of the Co-operative Societies referred to him/her by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Panaji or Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Central Zone, Panaji, Ponda Zone, Ponda, South Zone, Margao, North Zone, Mapusa, Quepem Zone, Quepem, Election Cell, North Goa District, Panaji, Election Cell, South Goa District, Margao, the Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies Arbitration & Execution (North), Panaji-Goa and the Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies Arbitration & Execution (South), Margao-Goa, as the case may be for the period from 01-04-2013 to 31-03-2014.

He/She is advised to maintain all the case files in terms of Civil Manual issued by the Hon'ble High Court for the guidelines of the subordinate Courts keeping in view the provisions of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003. It should be ensured that the judgement should be pronounced within a period of 3 months from the date of conclusion of the final arguments and the order shall not be delayed beyond a period of 2 months from the date of pronouncement of Judgement in accordance with the judgement given by Hon'ble High Court under the Writ Petition No. 281 of 2006 dated 24-08-2006.

Information pertaining to appointments of Registrar's Nominee of deciding the dispute.

Sr. No.	Name	Address
1	2	3
1.	Adv. Ramakant B. Vernekar	F-5, Champa Vihar Near Popular High School, Comba, Margao.
2.	Adv. Mukund V. Pai	S-4, Patto Centre, 2nd Floor, Patto, Panaji.
3.	Adv. A. A. Jog	101, Chandra Sadan, Near Hotel Neptune, Panaji-Goa, 403-001.
4.	Adv. Ghanashyam Pai	A-1, F-12, 1st Floor, Jairam Apartment, Neuginagar, Panaji-Goa.
5.	Adv. Satyawant Gunlo Palkar	Advocate & Notary, Gokhale Building, Upper Bazar, Ponda-Goa 403 401.
6.	Adv. Prashant Agarwal	H. No. 469/1, Dosoxir Waddo, Assagao, Bardez-Goa.
7.	Adv. Uday Chodnekar	Apana Bazar, 2nd Floor, Building D, S-9, Margao.
8.	Adv. M. N. Bhartiya	406/144, PDA Colony, Alto-Porvorim, Bardez-Goa 403 521.
9.	Adv. Rajesh Narvekar	Narvekar Chambers, Near Central Bank, Mapusa-Goa.
10.	Adv. S. V. Talaulikar	9, Karma Paes Avenue, 2nd Floor, Opp. Civil & Criminal Court, Vasco-da-Gama, Goa.
11.	Adv. Yeshwant V. Gauns	H. No. 121-A, Ward No. 5, Nanus Road, Valpoi, Satari-Goa.
12.	Adv. N. P. Gaunekar	H. No. 497, St. Francisco Ward, Goa Velha, Tiswadi-Goa.
13.	Adv. B. S. Gaunkar	850/A, Parvati Niwas, Journalist Colony, Alto-Betim, Bardez-Goa.
14.	Adv. Mrs. Reena D. Naik Rasaikar	Flat No. 5, 3rd Floor, Prasheel Co-op. Housing Society, Behind Canara Bank, Ponda-Goa.
15.	Adv. Kishore L. Bhagat	H. No. 210, Deulwada, P. O. Marcela-Goa.

1	2	3
16.	Adv. Suhas K. Kundaikar	Gurudas Baba Nivas, Opp. Maruti Mandir, Warkhande-Ponda-Goa 403 401.
17.	Adv. Arun Anant Naik	1st Floor, Misquita House, Near La Capitaol Hotel, Panaji-Goa.
18.	Adv. Vallabh Falari	Antil Peth, F-10, Paul Tower, Near Petrol Pump, Bicholim-Goa.
19.	Adv. Rajkumar Naik	Shop No. 54, 2nd Floor, Apna Bazar Bldg., Vasco-da-Gama.
20.	Adv. Shilpa V. Shirgaokar	Om Sai Apartment, 2nd Floor, Near Ravindra Bhavan, Sakhali, Goa.
21.	Adv. Amol Thali	302, 3rd Floor, Vagle Vision, 18th June Road, Panaji.
22.	Adv. Arun A. Sinai Talaulikar	F-2, Anusuya Apts., Near, Sateri Temple, Amaral, Taleigao-Goa.

J. B. Bhingui, Registrar of Co-op. Societies.
Panaji, 9th April, 2013.

Office of the Asstt. Registrar of Co-operative Societies

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8(1) of the Goa Co-op. Societies Act, 2001, Annapurna Gauthan Self Help Group Co-op. Society Ltd., Varchawada, Gauthan, Sankhali, Bicholim-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. GEN-(c)-403/SHG/NZ/Goa.

Sd/- (R. A. Pednekar), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 5th March, 2013.

Certificate of Registration

Annapurna Gauthan Self Help Group Co-op. Society Ltd., Varchawada, Gauthan, Sankhali, Bicholim-Goa has been registered on 5-3-2013 and it bears registration code symbol No. GEN-(c)-403/SHG/NZ/Goa. It is classified as "General Society" in terms of Rule 8(1)(12) and sub-classified as

"Other Society" under sub-rule 12 (c) of Rule 8(1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (R. A. Pednekar), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 5th March, 2013.

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8(1) of the Goa Co-op. Societies Act, 2001, Shree Tamar Naik Self Help Group Co-op. Society Ltd., Kholpewadi, Sal, Bicholim-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. GEN-(c)-404/SHG/NZ/Goa.

Sd/- (R. A. Pednekar), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 5th March, 2013.

Certificate of Registration

Shree Tamar Naik Self Help Group Co-op. Society Ltd., Kholpewadi, Sal, Bicholim-Goa has been registered on 5-3-2013 and it bears registration code symbol No. GEN-(c)-404/SHG/NZ/Goa. It is classified as "General Society" in terms of Rule 8(1)(12) and sub-classified as "Other Society" under sub-rule 12 (c) of Rule 8(1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (R. A. Pednekar), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 5th March, 2013.

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8(1) of the Goa Co-op. Societies Act, 2001, The Himbjs Multipurpose Co-op. Society Ltd., H. No. 128 A, Opp. Post Office Sanquelim, Bicholim-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. RES-(c)-11/NZ/Goa.

Sd/- (R. A. Pednekar), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 12th March, 2013.

Certificate of Registration

The Himbjs Multipurpose Co-op. Society Ltd., H. No. 128 A, Opp. Post Office Sanquelim, Bicholim-Goa has been registered on 12-3-2013 and it bears registration code symbol No. RES-(c)-11/NZ/Goa. It is classified as "Resource Society" in terms of Rule 8(1)(10) and sub-classified as

"Service Resource Society" under sub-rule 10 (c) of Rule 8(1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (R. A. Pednekar), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 12th March, 2013.

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8(1) of the Goa Co-op. Societies Act, 2001, Pyro Group Employees Co-op. Credit Society Ltd., C/o. Pyro Electric Instrument Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 71, Industrial Estate, Bicholim-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. RES-(a)-84/NZ/Goa.

Sd/- (R. A. Pednekar), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 14th March, 2013.

Certificate of Registration

Pyro Group Employees Co-op. Credit Society Ltd., C/o. Pyro Electric Instrument Pvt. Ltd., Plot No. 71, Industrial Estate, Bicholim-Goa has been registered on 14-3-2013 and it bears registration code symbol No. RES-(a)-84/NZ/Goa. It is classified as "Resource Society" in terms of Rule 8(1)(10) and sub-classified as "Credit Resource Society" under sub-rule 10 (a) of Rule 8(1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (R. A. Pednekar), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 14th March, 2013.

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8(1) of the Goa Co-op. Societies Act, 2001, the Mapusa Fish Merchant's Co-op. Society Ltd., Mapusa, Bardez-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. GEN-(c)-5/NZ/Goa.

Sd/- (R. A. Pednekar), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 28th March, 2013.

Certificate of Registration

The Mapusa Fish Merchant's Co-op. Society Ltd., Mapusa, Bardez-Goa has been registered on 28-3-2013 and it bears registration code symbol No. GEN-(c)-5/NZ/Goa. It is classified as "Farming

Society" in terms of Rule 8(1)(6) and sub-classified as "Other Farming Society" under sub-rule 6 (c) of Rule 8(1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (R. A. Pednekar), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 28th March, 2013.

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 8(1) of the Goa Co-op. Societies Act, 2001, The Kamat Pearch Co-op. Housing Society Ltd., Salvador-do-Mundo, Bardez-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-337/NZ/Goa.

Sd/- (R. A. Pednekar), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 28th March, 2013.

Certificate of Registration

The Kamat Pearch Co-op. Housing Society Ltd., Salvador-do-Mundo, Bardez-Goa has been registered on 28-3-2013 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-337/NZ/Goa. It is classified as "Housing Society" in terms of Rule 8(1)(7) and sub-classified as "Co-Partnership Housing Society" under sub-rule 7 (b) of Rule 8(1) of the Goa Co-operative Societies Rules, 2003.

Sd/- (R. A. Pednekar), Asstt. Registrar of Co-op. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 28th March, 2013.

Department of Education, Art & Culture

Directorate of Higher Education

Order

No. 3/5/2002-DHE/953

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide their letter No. COM/II/12/15/15(1)/2012/398 dated 21-03-2013, the Government is pleased to declare Shri Mayuresh D. Vasta, Lecturer in Tabla, in the Goa College of Music Altinho, Panaji to have satisfactorily completed his probationary period

with retrospective effect from 08-10-2010, and to confirm him in the said post with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sd/- (R. K. Halarnkar), Under Secretary (Higher Education).

Panaji, 9th April, 2013.

Directorate of Technical Education

Polytechnic Section

Order

No. 17/2/10/2008/DTE/Part I/174

On the recommendations of the Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide their letter No. COM/II/12/34(2)/2012/373 dated 01-03-2013, approval of the Government is hereby conveyed for the lifting of probation period and Confirmation of Services of the following group 'A' Gazetted staff of Government Polytechnic Panaji, Goa with effect from the dates mentioned against their names.

Sr. No.	Name of the Incumbent	Designation	Date of Confirmation
1	2	3	4
1.	Shri Allvin Bismark Facho	Lecturer in Fabrication (Applied Mechanics)	31-05-2002.
2.	Kum. Sonali Shripad Joshi alias Smt. Sonali S. Kamat Satoskar	Lecturer in Industrial Electronics	01-05-2002.
3.	Kum. Shilpa Pundalik Naik Gaonkar	Lecturer in Electrical Engineering	30-06-2011.
4.	Shri Devanand Balchandra Desai	Lecturer in Mechanical Engineering	24-06-2011.
5.	Smt. Seema Hrishikesh Avde	Lecturer in Electronics Engineering	30-06-2011.
6.	Shri Ramnath Ulhas Sarmalkar	Lecturer in Applied Mechanics (Mechanical)	27-01-2012.

1	2	3	4
7.	Shri Vishal Mahadev Kurtikar	Lecturer in Applied Mechanics (Mechanical)	18-01-2012.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Vivek B. Kamat, Director and ex officio Additional Secretary (Technical Education).

Porvorim, 15th April, 2013.

Department of Finance

Revenue & Control Division

Order

No. 3/2/2009-Fin(R&C)

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 5 of the Goa Entertainment Tax Act, 1964 (Act 2 of 1964), the Government of Goa is pleased to exempt the Musical Programme featuring PADMAVIBHUSHAN ASHA BHOSLE to be held in Kala Academy, Panaji-Goa on 14-04-2013 at 6.00 p.m. from the liability of payment of entertainment tax in whole.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sd/- (Ajit S. Pawasker), Under Secretary, Fin. (R & C).

Porvorim, 10th April, 2013.

Department of Fisheries

Directorate of Fisheries

Order

No. DF/ADMN/RIA/2006/Vol.I/201

In pursuance to clause 5 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act"), the following Officer/Official are hereby appointed as Public Information Officer and Assistant Public Information Officer for the Directorate of Fisheries, to deal with the applications received from the Public under the said Act.

Sr. No.	Designation of the Officer/Official	Designated as	Jurisdiction
1.	Shri Chandrakant D. Velip, Superintendent of Fisheries	Public Information Officer	State of Goa.
2.	Smt. Kshama Pandharnath S. Navelkar, Junior Stenographer	Assistant Public Information Officer	State of Goa.

The Assistant Public Information Officer shall on receipt of the applications for information or appeal under the RTI Act, forward the same forthwith to the Public Information Officer, as required under sub-section (1) of Section 7.

The Public Information Officer shall on receipt of a request under Section 6, as expeditiously as possible, and in any case within thirty days of the receipt of the request, either provide the information on payment of such fees as may be prescribed or reject the request for any of the reasons specified in Sections 8 and 9.

The above designated Officer/Official shall exercise and perform the powers/functions laid down under the RTI Act, 2005 with immediate effect.

The Director of Fisheries, will be the First Appellate Authority under this Act to hear the appeals against the decision of the Public Information Officer and Assistant Public Information Officer of the Department.

This supercedes this office earlier Order No. DF/ADMN/RIA/2006/Vol.I/6256 dated 22-11-2011.

Dr. (Smt.) *Shamila Monteiro*, Director of Fisheries.

Panaji, 8th April, 2013.

Department of Home

Office of the Director General of Police

Order

No. CA-I/224/Ad Prom Dy. SP/3868/2013,
Dist. Ord. No. 438

Read: Order No. CA-I/224/Dy.SP/11192/2012 dated 06-12-2012.

The ad hoc promotion of the following Officers (Dy. Superintendent of Police) in Junior Scale of

Goa Police Service is hereby extended as shown below:

Sl. No.	Name & place of posting	Extension period of ad hoc promotion
1	2	3
1.	Smt. Rina Torcato, CID, FB, Panaji	01-11-2012 to 30-04-2013.
2.	Shri Mahesh K. Gaonkar, S. D. P. O., Quepem	01-11-2012 to 30-04-2013.
3.	Shri Gajanan V. Prabhudessai, S. D. P. O., Bicholim	01-11-2012 to 30-04-2013.
4.	Shri Naresh V. Mhamal, Staff Officer to DGP	01-11-2012 to 30-04-2013.
5.	Smt. Terezinha T. D'Souza, CID SB, Panaji	01-11-2012 to 30-04-2013.
6.	Shri Sammy Tavares, Dy. Supdt. of Police (Konkan Rly.)	01-11-2012 to 30-04-2013.
7.	Shri Serafin Dias, S. D. P. O., Mapusa	01-11-2012 to 30-04-2013.
8.	Shri Lawrence D'Souza, S. D. P. O., Vasco	01-11-2012 to 30-04-2013.

2. This issues with the concurrence of Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide letter No. COM/II/11/37(1)/2013/86 dated 10-04-2013 and approval of the Police Establishment Board.

Kishan Kumar, IPS, Director General of Police (Goa).

Panaji, 12th April, 2013.

Department of Labour

Notification

No. 28/1/2013-Lab/97

The following award passed by the Labour Court-II, at Panaji-Goa on 16-01-2013 in reference No. IT/74/07 is hereby published as required by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. S. Morajkar, Under Secretary (Labour).

Porvorim, 27th February, 2013.

THE LABOUR COURT - II GOVERNMENT OF GOA AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Suresh N. Narulkar, Hon'ble
Presiding Officer)

Case No. Ref. IT/74/07

Shri Menino Costa,
House No. 91-B, Rumder,
Belloy, Nuvem,
Salcete-Goa.

... Workman/Party I

V/s

M/s. Rammi Khanna
& Co. Pvt Ltd.,
Majorda Beach Road,
Majorda, Salcete-Goa.

... Employer/Party II

Party I/Workman represented by Shri Subhash Naik Jorge.

Party II/Employer represented by Adv. Shri M. S. Bandodkar.

Panaji, dated: 16-01-2013

AWARD

1. In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Goa by order dated 29-09-2006, bearing No. 28/28/2006-LAB/710 referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Labour Court-II of Goa.

"(1) Whether Shri Menino Costa, Gardener, can be construed as "Workman" as per Sec. 2(s) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947)?

(2) If the answer to the above issue No. (2) above is in the affirmative, then, whether the severance of the Workman and the Employer relationship between Shri Menino Costa and the Management of M/s. Rammi Khanna & Co. Pvt. Limited, Majorda, Salcete, Goa with effect from 14-06-2005, is on account of termination of services of Shri Menino Costa, or on mutually agreed settlement between them?

(3) If the termination of services of Shri Menino Costa, Gardener, is not legal and justified, then, to what relief the Workman is entitled?"

2. On receipt of the reference, a case was registered under No. IT/74/07 and registered A/D notice was issued to the parties. In pursuance to the said notice, the parties put in their

appearance. The Workman/Party I (for short 'Workman') filed his statement of claim on 18-12-2007 at Exb. 09. The facts of the case in brief as pleaded by the Workman are that he was initially employed as a 'Gardener' with M/s. Maharani Guest House. He stated that the property of the said Maharani Guest House was transferred to M/s. Ramesh Hotels & Resorts Pvt. Ltd. having its office at Utorda, Majorda, Salcete-Goa. He stated that his services came to be transferred to M/s. Ramesh Hotels & Resorts Pvt. Ltd. after the dissolution of the firm M/s. Maharani Guest House. He stated that since the year 1996, he was working as a 'Gardener' with the said M/s. Ramesh Hotels & Resorts Pvt. Ltd. He stated that he was working alongwith Mr. Natividade Fernandes, Mr. Alex Calado, Mr. Roque Ferrao and Mr. Andrew Vaz etc. He stated that one Mr. Joe Pereira was the Manager of the said M/s. Ramesh Hotels & Resorts Pvt. Ltd. at the relevant period. He stated that on 10-06-2005, he was issued a letter dated 10-06-2005 signed by one Shri S. V. Balaram claiming to be a constituted Attorney of the employer having its registered office at 3, Ruia Park, Juhu Beach, Mumbai stating that his services stands terminated w.e.f. 14-06-2005. He stated that it was alleged in the said letter that he was working as a domestic servant. He stated that it was also alleged that the Employer had lost confidence in him and that restructuring is being undertaken and hence his services are not required. He stated that the services of the other co-workers namely Mr. Natividade Fernandes, Mr. Roque Ferrao, Mr. Alex Calado and Mr. Andrew Vaz also came to be terminated at the same time. He stated that the services of some of the co-workers were terminated on the letter head of the Employer and some of the co-workers were terminated on the letter head of M/s. Ramesh Hotels & Resorts Pvt. Ltd. although they were working for M/s. Ramesh Hotels & Resorts Pvt. Ltd. He stated that the directors of both the said companies are same. He stated that prior to their termination of services, they had lodged a police complaint at Verna Police Station alleging that one of the director of M/s. Ramesh Hotels & Resorts Pvt. Ltd. namely Mr. Ramesh Khanna was involved in a rape incident in the hotel premises. He stated that based on the said complaint, the Verna Police conducted an enquiry and the report of the said enquiry was communicated to the Secretary, Governor of Goa vide letter dated 14-09-2005 of the Superintendent of Police, H. Q., Panaji-Goa.

3. He submitted that aggrieved by the decision of the Employer to terminate his services, he

raised a demand with the Employer demanding his reinstatement in services with full back wages and continuity in services vide his letter dated 21-06-2005. He stated that in his demand letter dated 21-06-2005, he alleged that the Employer had no authority to terminate his services as he was employed with M/s. Ramesh Hotels & Resorts Pvt. Ltd. He stated that as the Employer did not accept his demand, he raised a dispute before Deputy Labour Commissioner, Margao for conciliation which ended in failure.

4. He contented that since he was employed as a "Gardener" with M/s. Ramesh Hotels & Resorts Pvt. Ltd. since 1996 till the date of his termination i.e. 14-05-2005, neither the Employer nor Shri S. V. Balaram, has authority to terminate his services. He submitted that the termination of his service is in violation of mandatory provisions of Sec. 25-F, 25-G and Sec. 25-H of the I. D. Act, 1947. He therefore contended that the termination of his services is illegal and unjustified. He submitted that Mr. S. V. Balaram has no authority to terminate his service as he is neither the owner of the Employer nor employed with the Employer or authorized by the Employer to terminate his services. He submitted that as the said complaint was lodged by them, their services came to be terminated w.e.f. 14-06-2005. He submitted that the action of termination of his services is clearly malafide, vindictive and by way victimization besides being legal and unjustified.

5. He submitted that since the date of his termination of his services, he is unemployed and has no source of income. He submitted that he is depending on his close family members and relations for support during this difficult period. The Workman therefore prayed for his reinstatement in service with full back wages and continuity in services.

6. The Employer filed its written statement on 14-03-2008 at Exb. 14. The Employer controverted the claim of the Workman preliminarily on the ground that the Party I was working as a 'Garden Helper' at the residential bungalow and the said place cannot be constituted as a commercial or industrial establishment or a factory or an establishment under Shops & Establishments Act as at no point of time any industrial, Commercial manufacturing or any other business activities were carried out at the said residential bungalow. The Employer submitted that the said place where the Party I was working was exclusively used for the residential purpose and therefore, the same cannot be termed as 'industrial establishment'.

The Employer therefore submitted that the person working at the residential bungalow as domestic servant cannot be termed as a "Workman" as defined u/s 2(s) of the I. D. Act, 1947. The Employer submitted that the Government has failed to apply its mind while referring the present reference mechanically without asserting whether there exist an Employer/Employee relationship or that whether there exist an industrial dispute. The Employer submitted that the reference itself is bad-in-law and not maintainable. The Employer submitted that the Party I, having accepted all his dues from the Employer, nothing remains in the matter and no dispute of whatsoever nature exists.

7. The Employer stated that for the purpose of maintenance and looking after the said bungalow, its garden, house-keeping work and for cooking purpose etc. it had engaged certain domestic servants/helpers. The Employer stated that the Party I was engaged as a domestic servant to work in the said garden as 'Garden Helper'. The Employer submitted that the Party I being a domestic worker, there was no employer/employee relationship between itself and the Party I. The Employer stated that there were no specific rules, regulations or working hours for the said domestic servant. The Employer stated that the Party I alongwith other workers was performing any work connected to the said bungalow, assigned to them by the manager of the said bungalow. The Employer submitted that the owner of the bungalow lost the confidence in him as the Party I alongwith other co-worker had filed a false defamative, and mischievous complaint against the director at Verna Police Station at the behest of Mr. Jose Pereira alias Joe Pereira, tarnishing the image of the owner and its Director and its authorized representative. The Employer stated that a copy of the said false complaint was forwarded to the Office of the Governor of Goa and other authorities. The Employer stated that the police authorities made thorough investigation into the said complaint and submitted their report to the Secretary, Governor of Goa. The Employer stated that the said police authority clearly revealed amongst other things that the Management due to the financial problems wants to dispose off the said property after clearing all the employees. The Employer stated that the aggrieved workers had negotiation with the Management under the leadership of Joe Pereira. The Employer stated that Shri Joe Pereira instigated all the workers and submitted the present petition on account of his illegal gain. The

Employer submitted that the Party I being a domestic servant, the provisions of the I. D. Act, 1947 are not applicable to him while dispensing his services or otherwise. The Employer stated that it has terminated the services of the Party I by paying an amount equivalent to notice pay, retrenchment compensation, gratuity, ex-gratia etc. though he was not entitled to legally or otherwise on humanitarian ground. The Employer stated that the Party I had voluntarily accepted the said payment and severed the relation as domestic servant. The Employer stated that the said property of M/s. Ramesh Hotels where the Party I was working has been sold by the Employer. The Employer stated that the bungalow where the Party I was working belongs to its Director and the said working place of the Party I has no link or connection to any manufacturing, commercial or industrial activities. The Employer denied that the Party I was working as a 'Gardener' with M/s. Ramesh Hotels & Resorts Pvt. Ltd. The Employer submitted that since the Party I is neither a 'Workman' nor dispute raised by him is an 'industrial dispute' within the meaning of the provisions of the I. D. Act, the question of violation of any of the provisions of the said act including Sec. 25-F, 25-G & 25-H does not arise. The Employer denied the overall case of the Party I as pleaded in his statement of claim filed in the present proceedings and prayed for dismissal of the present reference issued by the Government.

8. Thereafter, the Party I filed his re-joinder on 17-04-2008 at Exb. 15. The Party I by way of his re-joinder reiterates and confirms all the submissions and averments made by him in his claim statement to be true and correct and denies all the statements and averments made by the Employer in its written statement which are contrary and inconsistent with the statements and averments made by him. The Party I submitted that Mr. S. V. Balaram has no authority as well as locus standi to sign and present the written statement on behalf of the Employer nor does he holds valid power of attorney to represent the Employer in the present reference and as such the matter ought to be proceeded as if no written statement has been filed by the employer.

9. Based on the pleadings filed by the respective parties in the present proceedings, this Court framed the following issues on 23-07-2008 at Exb. 18. The issue No. 2-A has been re-casted vide order dated 21-08-2012 disposing off an application of the Party I dated 23-07-2012.

1. *Whether the Workman/Party I proves that he is a "Workman" as defined u/s 2(s) of the I. D. Act, 1947?*
2. *Whether the Workman/Party I proves that there was employer/employee relationship between him and the Party II as contemplated under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947?*
- 2 A) *Whether the Workman/Party I proves that Shri S. V. Balaram has no authority as well as locus standi to sign and present the written statement on behalf of the Employer?*
3. *Whether the Workman/Party I proves that his termination of services w.e.f. 14-06-2005 by the Party II is illegal and unjustified?*
4. *Whether the Employer/Party II proves that the present order of reference issued by the Government of Goa is bad-in-law?*
5. *Whether the Workman/Party I proves that he is entitled for any relief?*
6. *What Award?*

11. My answers to the aforesaid issues are as under:

- Issue No. 1 : In the negative.
 Issue No. 2 : In the negative.
 Issue No. 2-A : In the negative.
 Issue No. 3 : Does not arise.
 Issue No. 4 : In the affirmative.
 Issue Nos. 5 & 6 : As per final order.

REASONS:

11. Issue No. 2A:

I am deciding the issue No. 2A first prior to the issue Nos. 1 & 2 as the said issue No. 2A decides the very authority of Shri S. V. Balaram on behalf of the Employer to sign and present the written statement.

I have heard the oral arguments of the Ld. Representative Shri Subhash Naik appearing for the Party I as well as Ld. Adv. Shri M. S. Bandodkar appearing for the Employer.

I have carefully perused the entire records of the present case. I have also carefully considered the oral submissions made by Ld. Rep. Shri Subhash Naik appearing for the Party I as well as Ld. Adv. Shri M. S. Bandodkar appearing for the Employer.

12. The Party I challenged the very authority of Shri S. V. Balaram who has signed the written statement as constituted attorney of the Employer

in the present proceedings by alleging that Shri S. V. Balaram has no authority as well as locus standi to sign and present the written statement on behalf of the Employer and also to represent the Employer in the present proceedings.

13. The Employer, in order to prove its case, has examined Shri S. V. Balaram and also produced on record a copy of the power of attorney dated 03-01-2002 (Exb. E/1) as well as a copy of the resolution passed in the meeting of the Board of Directors of the Employer Company held on 03-01-2002 (Exb. E/2) in support of its oral evidence. On carefully perusal of a copy of the said resolution passed in the meeting of the Board of Directors of the Employer Company held on 03-01-2002 (Exb. E/2), it reveals that the Employer Company in its Board of Directors' Meeting held on 03-01-2002 resolved to authorize Shri S. V. Balaram as an Attorney to sign and issue necessary appointment and termination letters of the employees, labourers and consultants of the Company and to attend day-to-day matters of the Company including appointment and termination letters of the employees, labourers and consultants of the Company. Further on carefully perusal of the said Power of Attorney dated 03-01-2002 (Exb. E/1) issued to Shri S. V. Balaram indicates that he is/was duly authorized to sign and issue necessary appointment and termination letters of the employees labourers and consultants of the Company, to attend day-to-day matters of the Company including appointment and termination letters of the employees, labourers and consultants of the Company and also to represent in legal matters and appoint attorney/advocate in this regard. Thus, the said Power of Attorney as well as a copy of resolution dated 03-01-2002 on record clearly indicates that Shri S. V. Balaram is duly authorized to act on behalf of the Employer Company to perform certain acts stated therein. The meaning of the term "represent" is very wide and it includes signing of the written statement in the present proceedings. Thus, I do not find any merits in the submissions of Ld. Rep. Shri Subhash Naik that Shri S. V. Balaram has no authority to sign and present the written statement on behalf of the Employer and/or to represent the Employer in the present proceedings. It is therefore held that Shri S. V. Balaram is duly authorized to represent the Employer Company. The issue No. 2A is therefore answered in the negative.

14. Issue Nos. 1, 2 & 4:

I am deciding the issue Nos. 1, 2 & 4 together as the said issue Nos. 1, 2 & 4 are co-related to each other.

I have heard the oral arguments of the Ld. Representative Shri Subhash Naik appearing for the Party I as well as Ld. Adv. Shri M. S. Bandodkar appearing for the Employer.

15. Ld. Representative Shri Subhash Naik representing the Party I during the course of his oral argument submitted that the Party I has produced on record an experience certificate (Exb. W/1) issued by M/s. Ramesh Hotels and Resorts Pvt. Ltd. in support of its oral evidence that he was working as 'Gardener' with the said Company since the year 1999 till the date of his termination w.e.f. 14-06-2005. He submitted that the said oral as well as documentary evidence adduced by the Party I on record clearly indicates that the Party I was working as gardener and performing the duties such as planting, weeding, trimming, shaping trees etc., and was also growing orchids and manuring coconut trees as well as saplings. He therefore submitted that the predominant nature of duties and responsibilities performed by the Party I are semi-skilled in nature and as such the Party I is a "Workman" within the meaning of Sec. 2(s) of the I. D. Act, 1947. He submitted that there exists an employer/employee relationship between the Employer and himself. He relied upon a decision in the case of **Madhya Pradesh Bhavan v/s Shri Shiv Kumar Tiwari and Anr. of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.**

16. On the contrary, Ld. Adv. Shri M. S. Bandodkar, representing the Employer, during the course of his oral argument submitted that the Party I raised the present dispute pertaining to his non-employment by claiming to be a "Workman" within the meaning of Section 2(s) of the I. D. Act, 1947. The burden to prove that he is a Workman as defined u/s 2(s) of the I. D. Act, is on the Party I. He submitted that the Party I was working as garden helper at the residential bungalow of Mr. Ramesh Khanna who is the Director of the Employer Company. He submitted that there are no commercial activities of whatsoever nature being carried out on the said place. He submitted that the said residential bungalow is neither commercial nor industrial establishment nor factory or registered under the G. D. D. Shops and Establishments Act, 1973. He submitted that no industrial or commercial activity was being carried on in the said residential bungalow. He submitted that all the said persons were working at the said residential bungalow as domestic servants and not a "Workman" as defined u/s 2(s) of the I. D. Act, 1947. He submitted that there does not exist any Employer/employee relationship within the meaning of the said Act. He submitted that the

reference therefore is bad-in-law. He relied upon a decision in the case of **Shantaram Pandurang Jadhav and ors. v/s Kiran Industrial Premises Co-operative Society Ltd.,** of Hon'ble High Court of Bombay reported in **2007 III CLR 418.**

I have carefully perused the entire records of the present case. I have also carefully considered the oral submissions made by Ld. Rep. Shri Subhash Naik appearing for the Party I as well as Ld. Adv. Shri M. S. Bandodkar appearing for the Employer.

17. Being aggrieved by the order of his termination dated 10-06-2005 (Exb. W/2) issued by the Employer, the Party I challenged the same in the present order of reference by contending to be illegal and unjustified. It appears from the written statement filed by the Employer in the present proceedings, the Employer preliminarily controverted the claim of the Party I by alleging that the Party I is not a "Workman" within the meaning of Sec. 2(s) of the I. D. Act, 1947, but he was a domestic servant working as a "garden helper" at the residential bungalow of its director namely Shri Ramesh Khanna and the said place cannot be constituted as a commercial or industrial establishment or a factory or an industrial establishment under the G.D.D. Shops and Establishment Act, 1973 and that there does not exist any Employer/Employee relationship between itself and the Party I within the meaning of the said Act. The burden to prove the said issue Nos. 1, 2 & 4 are therefore on the Party I and the Employer respectively.

18. In order to prove his case that he is a "Workman" within the meaning of Section 2(s) of the I. D. Act, 1947, the Party I has examined himself and two witnesses namely Mr. Natividade Fernandes & Mr. Joe Pereira. On the contrary, the Employer has examined Shri S. V. Balaram its authorized signatory.

19. The Employer resisted the claim of the Party I by alleging that the Party I is not a "Workman" within the meaning of Sec. 2(s) of the I. D. Act, 1947. The burden to prove that he is a "Workman" as defined under Section 2(s) of the I. D. Act, 1947, is on the Party I. It is therefore necessary to refer to the provisions of Sec. 2(s) of the I. D. Act, 1947 which defines the term "Workman".

"Section 2(s), "Workman" means any person (including an apprentice) employed in any industry to do any manual unskilled, skilled, technical, operational, clerical or supervisory work for hire or reward, whether the terms of

employment be expressed or implied and for the purposes of any proceedings under this act in relation to an industrial dispute, includes any such person who has been dismissed, discharged or retrenched in connection with or a consequence of that dispute or dismissal, discharge or retrenchment has led to that dispute, but does not include any such person.

- (1) *who is subject to the AIR Force Act, 1950 (45 of 1950) or the Army Act, 1950 (46 of 1950) or the Navy Act, 1957 (62 of 1957) or*
- (2) *who is employed in the police service or as an Officer or other employee of a prison or*
- (3) *who is employed mainly in a managerial or administrative capacity*
- (4) *who, being employed in a supervisory capacity draws wages exceeding ₹ 1,600/- per mensem or exercises either by the nature of the duties attached to the office or by reason of the powers vested in him, functions mainly of a managerial nature."*

20. Thus from the plain reading of definition of "Workman" under the I. D. Act, 1947, it appears that in order to prove the concerned employee is a "Workman" or not within the meaning of the said act, he/she must prove that he/she was employed in an "Industry" as defined under the said Act and secondly he/she was employed to perform any of the work such as to do any manual, unskilled, skilled, technical, operational, clerical or supervisory work for hire or reward.

21. On carefully perusal of the present order of reference issued by the Government of Goa, it appears that the Government of Goa has formed an opinion that there exist an industrial dispute between the Management of the Employer namely M/s. Rammi Khanna & Co. Pvt. Ltd. and the Party I. The Government of Goa therefore, in exercise of its powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Sec. 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 has referred the present dispute for its adjudication to this Labour Court-II, Panaji-Goa constituted u/s 7 of the said Act.

22. The Party I filed his statement of claim setting forth his case in detail which is on record at Exb. 7. The Party I in his statement of claim filed in the present proceedings alleged that initially he was employed as a 'Gardener' with M/s. Maharani Guest House and thereafter to M/s. Ramesh Hotels and Resorts Pvt. Ltd., Utorda, Salcete-Goa, after transfer of the said property of M/s. Maharani Guest House. The Party I further alleged that he was employed as a 'Gardener' with

M/s. Ramesh Hotels and Resorts Pvt. Ltd. since the year 1999 till the date of his termination w.e.f. 14-06-2005. Thus, from the pleadings of the Party I, it appears that it is the specific case of the Party I that he was employed with M/s. Ramesh Hotels & Resorts Pvt. Ltd., since the year 1999 till the date of his termination of his services w.e.f. 14-06-2005 and that his services has been terminated by Shri S. V. Balaram, the authorized signatory of M/s. Rammi Khanna and Co. Pvt. Ltd., without any authority. The Party I also examined himself and two witnesses namely Mr. Natividade Fernandes and Mr. Joao Pereira. The Party I also produced on record certain documentary evidence in support of his oral evidence.

23. The oral as well as documentary evidence adduced by the Party I on record indicates that the Party I was employed with M/s. Ramesh Hotels and Resorts Pvt. Ltd. since 1999 till the date of termination of his services w.e.f. 14-06-2005 and not for the Employer Company. The evidence on record indicates that the services of the Party I has been terminated by Shri S. V. Balaram while acting as constituted attorney of M/s. Rammi Khanna and Co. Pvt. Ltd. vide letter dated 10-06-2005. Thus it is crystal clear that the Party I has pleaded and also proved that he was working for M/s. Ramesh Hotels and Resorts Pvt. Ltd., since 1999 till the date of termination of his services w.e.f. 14-06-2005 and that his services have been terminated by M/s. Rammi Khanna and Co. Pvt. Ltd. There is nothing on record that M/s. Ramesh Hotels and Resorts Pvt. Ltd. and M/s. Rammi Khanna and Pvt. Ltd. are one and the same legal entity. Thus in the absence of any evidence on record, it appears that M/s. Ramesh Hotels and Resorts Pvt. Ltd. and M/s. Rammi Khanna and Co. Pvt. Ltd. are separate, distinct and two different legal entities. Thus, the Party I failed to prove that he was an Employee of the Employer, M/s. Rammi Khanna and Co. Pvt. Ltd. and that there exists any master-servant relationship between the Employer, M/s. Rammi Khanna and Company Pvt. Ltd. and himself. The Party I, having failed to prove the master-servant relationship between the Employer, M/s. Rammi Khanna and Company Pvt. Ltd. and himself, also failed to prove that he is a "Workman" within the meaning of Sec. 2(s) of the I. D. Act, 1947. No steps have been taken by either of the party to amend/modify the present order of reference issued by the Government of Goa by substituting the name of M/s. Ramesh Hotels and Resorts Pvt. Ltd. in place of M/s. Rammi Khanna and Co. Pvt. Ltd. Consequently the Party I also failed to prove that there exist an employer/

/employee relationship between M/s. Rammi Khanna and Co. Pvt. Ltd. and himself. The reference issued by the Government of Goa is therefore bad-in-law and not maintainable. The reference therefore does not survive. The issue Nos. 1 and 2 are therefore answered in the negative and issue No. 4 is answered in the affirmative.

24. Issue No. 3:

While deciding the issue Nos. 1, 2 & 4 hereinabove, I have come to the conclusion and held that the Party I is not a "Workman" as defined u/s 2(s) of the I. D. Act, 1947 and that the Party I also failed to prove that there exist any employer/employee relationship between the employer and himself as contemplated under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The question of answering the issue No. 3 as to whether the termination of services of the Party I w.e.f. 14-06-2005 is illegal and does not arise at all. The issue No. 3 is therefore answered accordingly.

24. Issue No. 5:

While deciding the issue Nos. 1, 2 & 4 hereinabove, I have come to the conclusion and held that the Party I is not a "Workman" as defined u/s 2(s) of the I. D. Act, 1947 and that the Party I also failed to prove that there exist any employer/employee relationship between the employer and himself as contemplated under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The Party I is not entitled to any relief. The issue No. 5 is therefore answered in the negative.

In view of above, I proceed to pass the following order:

ORDER

1. It is held that Shri Menino Costa, Gardener is not a "Workman" within the meaning of Sec. 2(s) of the I. D. Act, 1947.
2. It is further held that the reference as to whether the severance of the Workman and Employer relationship between Shri Menino Costa and the Management of M/s. Rammi Khanna & Co. Pvt. Limited, Majorda, Salcete, Goa with effect from 14-06-2005, is on account of termination of services of Shri Menino Costa, or on mutually agreed settlement between them, does not survive.
3. It is further held that the reference as to whether the termination of services of Shri Menino Costa, Gardener, is not legal and justified, also does not survive.

4. The Party I, Shri Menino Costa, is not entitled to any relief.

5. Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(Suresh N. Narulkar),
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court-II.

Inspectorate of Factories & Boilers, Institute of
Safety Occupational Health and Environment

Order

No. 2/326/ADM-IFB/2013/201

On the recommendation of the Departmental Promotion Committee as conveyed by Goa Public Service Commission, Panaji, vide letter No. COM/II/12/19(1)/2013/399 dated 21-03-2013, Government is pleased to declare following Inspector of Factories (Group B Gazetted) in the Inspectorate of Factories and Boilers, Panaji to have satisfactorily completed their probation period of two years on the date mentioned against their name and confirm them in the post of Inspector of Factories (Group B Gazetted) with immediate effect.

Sr. No.	Name of Inspector of Factories	Date of satisfactory completion of probation period
(1)	(2)	(3)
1.	Shri Vivek Pandurang Marathe	02-02-2007.
2.	Shri Anant Sakhamam Pangam	30-12-2012.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sd/- (S. M. Paranjape), Chief Inspector and
ex officio Joint Secretary (Factories and Boilers).

Panaji, 9th April, 2013.

Department of Personnel

Order

File No. 6/4/2008-PER(Vol.I)

The ad hoc appointment of the following officers in Senior Scale of Goa Civil Service, is extended further for the period indicated against their names or till the appointment is made on regular basis, whichever is earlier:

Sr. No.	Name of Officer	Ad hoc appointment extended upto
1.	Shri Siddhivinayak S. Naik	20-3-2013 to 19-03-2014.
2.	Shri Derrick P. Neto	20-3-2013 to 19-03-2014.
3.	Shri Dipak S. Dessai	20-3-2013 to 19-03-2014.

This is issued with the approval of GPSC conveyed vide their letter No. COM/II/11/42(3)/2012/3487 dated 25-03-2013.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 1st April, 2013.

Order

File No. 6/17/2013-PER

The following officers are appointed to function as Officers on Special Duty to assist the Joint Chief Executive Officers, Games in organization of Lusofonia Games to be held in Goa from 2nd to 10th November, 2013, with immediate effect, in addition to their own duties and until further orders:

Sr. No.	Name of Officer	Present Posting
1.	Shri Srinet Kotwale, Senior Scale Officer of Goa Civil Service	General Manager (DIC) Directorate of Industries, Trade & Commerce.
2.	Shri Ashutosh Apte, Junior Scale Officer of Goa Civil Service	Under Secretary in the Office of the Minister for Co-operation.
3.	Shri Sudin Natu, Officer in the Cadre of Mamlatdar/Jt. Mamlatdar/Assistant Director of Civil Supplies	Vigilance Officer, Vigilance Department.
4.	Shri Gurudas Desai, Mamlatdar/ /Jt. Mamlatdar/ /Assistant Director of Civil Supplies	Jt. Mamlatdar, Bicholim.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Yetindra M. Maralkar, Additioanl Secretary (Personnel).

Porvorim, 8th April, 2013.

Order

File No. 6/11/2009-PER

Shri Amarsen W. Rane, Additional Director (Vigilance), shall hold charge of the post of Director (Vigilance), in addition to his own duties, with immediate effect and until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 8th April, 2013.

Order

File No. 6/5/2011-PER

Read: Order No. DAC/7/RBC/Regist/06/7079 dated 25-03-2013.

In pursuance of the order dated 25-03-2013, issued by the Directorate of Art & Culture, read above, Shri Agnelo A. Fernandes, Chief Officer, Curchorem-Cacora Municipal Council shall hold charge of the post of Member Secretary, Ravindra Bhavan, Curchorem, in addition to his own duties, with immediate effect and until further orders.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi, Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 9th April, 2013.

Order

File No. 15/1/99-PER(Part)

The Governor of Goa is pleased to order transfer and posting of the following Officers in the cadre of Mamlatdar/Joint Mamlatdar/Assistant Director of Civil Supplies with immediate effect, in public interest:

Sr. No.	Name of the Officer	Present posting	Posted on transfer as
1	2	3	4
1.	Smt. Veera V. Nayak	Jt. Mamlatdar-II, Bardez	Jt. Mamlatdar-III, Tiswadi with additional charge of Jt. Mamlatdar-II, Tiswadi.
2.	Shri Rajesh Ajgaonkar	Mamlatdar in Collectorate (North)	Jt. Mamlatdar-II, Bardez.

1	2	3	4
3. Smt. Varsha Parab	Jt. Mamlatdar-III, Tiswadi	Mamlatdar in Collectorate (North).	
4. Shri Chandrakant B. Shetkar	Mamlatdar, Bicholim	Mamlatdar, Pernem thereby relieving Shri Satish B. Desai from the additional charge.	
5. Shri Anil V. Rane Sardesai	Jt. Mamlatdar-II, Pernem	Chief Officer, Sankhali thereby relieving Shri Umesh Kerkar.	
6. Smt. Trupti Manikrao Rane @ Trupti Brijesh Manerkar	Jt. Mamlatdar-I, Satari	Jt. Mamlatdar-II, Bicholim.	
7. Shri Pravin Hire Parab	Jt. Mamlatdar-II, Bicholim	Mamlatdar, Satari thereby relieving Shri Paresh Fal Desai, with the additional charge of Jt. Mamlatdar-I, Satari.	
8. Shri Pratap Gaunkar	Jt. Mamlatdar-IV, Bardez	Jt. Mamlatdar-II, Quepem.	
9. Kum. Roshell Aurita Fernandes	Jt. Mamlatdar-II, Tiswadi	Jt. Mamlatdar-IV, Bardez.	
10. Shri Vishal C. Kundaikar	Jt. Mamlatdar-I, Tiswadi	Mamlatdar, Tiswadi thereby relieving Shri Sudhir Kerkar, with additional charge of Jt. Mamlatdar-I, Tiswadi.	

Kum. Shama Narvekar, Jt. Mamlatdar-I, Pernem shall hold the charge of Jt. Mamlatdar-II, Pernem, until further orders.

Shri Shripad Majik, Asstt. Director of Civil Supplies, Panaji is posted as Mamlatdar, Bicholim with additional charge of Asstt. Director of Civil Supplies, Panaji, until further orders.

Shri Umesh Kerkar, Section Officer, shall report to the General Administration Department for further posting.

All the above officers holding additional charge shall discharge their duties at both places as per need and workload.

All the above officers shall complete the process of handing over and taking over of their respective charges within 03 days and submit compliance.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Umeshchandra L. Joshi Under Secretary (Personnel-I).

Porvorim, 8th April, 2013.



Department of Public Health

Order

No. 44/7/2012-I/PHD

Government is pleased to accept the technical resignation tendered by Dr. Aparna Kapil Naik, Senior Ophthalmic Surgeon under Directorate of Health Services vide letter dated 13-03-2013 and she is hereby relieved from the said post w.e.f. 02-04-2013 (f. n.) in order to enable her to join her new posting as Lecturer in Ophthalmology in Goa Medical College.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. G. Sardesai, Additional Secretary (Health).

Porvorim, 1st April, 2013.

Order

No. 44/40/2011-I/PHD

Read: 1) Order No. 47/67/2011-I/PHD dated 06-12-2011.

Ex post facto approval of the Government is hereby extended for continuation of contract appointment of Dr. Amresh Naik, Medical Officer (contract basis), Comm. Health Centre, Ponda under the Directorate of Health Services, Panaji-Goa for a further period of one year w.e.f. 27-02-2013 to 26-02-2014 or till the post is filled on regular basis, whichever is earlier on monthly emoluments of ₹ 30,000/- (Rupees thirty thousand only) subject to the same terms and conditions contained in the earlier agreement executed by him with the Government.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Health-II).

Porvorim, 3rd April, 2013.

Order

No. 44/37/2011-I/PHD

Read: 1) Order No. 47/67/2011-I/PHD dated 06-12-2011.

Ex post facto approval of the Government is hereby extended for continuation of contract appointment of Dr. Priyanka N. Joshi, Medical Officer (contract basis), Asilo Hospital, Mapusa under the Directorate of Health Services, Panaji-Goa for a further period of one year w.e.f. 27-02-2013 to 26-02-2014 or till the post is filled on regular basis, whichever is earlier on monthly emoluments of ₹ 30,000/- (Rupees thirty thousand only) subject to the same terms and conditions contained in the earlier agreement executed by her with the Government.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Health-II).
Porvorim, 3rd April, 2013.

Order

No. 44/8/2011-I/PHD

Read: 1) Order No. 22/4/2003-I/PHD dated 11-02-2011.
2) Order No. 47/62/2010-I/PHD dated 29-03-2012.

Ex post facto approval of the Government is hereby extended for continuation of contract appointment of Dr. Shweta Lotlikar, Medical Officer (contract basis), Primary Health Centre, Shiroda under the Directorate of Health Services, Panaji-Goa for a further period of one year w.e.f. 18-02-2013 to 17-02-2014 or till the post is filled on regular basis, whichever is earlier on monthly emoluments of ₹ 30,000/- (Rupees thirty thousand only) subject to the same terms and conditions contained in the earlier agreement executed by her with the Government.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Health-II).
Porvorim, 3rd April, 2013.

Order

No. 46/1/2008-I/PHD

Read: Order No. 22/2/97-I/PHD/P.F. dated 20-07-2012.

Dr. Reshma Lotlikar, Public Health Dentist, posted at North Goa District Hospital, Mapusa vide

Order read at preamble shall perform her duties at Primary Health Centre, Aldona on Tuesday and Thursday in addition to her own duties with immediate effect and until further orders in public interest.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Anju S. Kerkar, Under Secretary (Health-II).
Porvorim, 3rd April, 2013.

Corrigendum

No. 11/3/89-IV/PHD/5/1147

Read: Government Order No. 11/3/89-IV/PHD/5 dated 28-01-2013.

In the first and second para of the Government Order cited at preamble, the name of the doctor indicated as Dy. Ivy Coutinho Fernandes, shall be corrected to read as "Dr. Ivy Fernandes Coutinho".

Rest of the content remains unchanged.

D. G. Sardesai, Additional Secretary (Health).
Porvorim, 9th April, 2013.

Department of Revenue**Notification**

No. 22/18/2011-RD

Whereas by Government Notification No. 22/18/2011-RD dated 30-03-2012 published on pages 39 to 40 of Series II No. 2 of the Official Gazette, dated 12-04-2012 and in two newspapers (1) "Navhind Times" and (2) "Pudhari" both dated 12-04-2012, it was notified under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") that the land specified in the Schedule appended to the said Notification was likely to be needed for the public purpose viz. Land Acquisition for the construction of Rural Medical Dispensary at Thane in Dongurli Village of Satari Taluka.

And whereas, the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of Section 5-A of the said Act is satisfied that the land specified in the Schedule hereto is needed for the public purpose specified above (hereinafter referred to as "the said land").

Now, therefore, the Government hereby declares, under Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

2. The Government also appoints, under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector/SDO, Bicholim, to perform the functions of a Collector, North Goa District, Panaji, for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land, and directs him under Section 7 of the said Act to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

3. A plan of the said land can be inspected at the Office of the said the Dy. Collector/SDO, Bicholim, till the award is made under Section 11.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

<i>Taluka:</i> Satari		<i>Village:</i> Dongurlim
Survey No./ /Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
49/13	O: Shri Bapusaheb Venkatrao Dessai.	700
49/14 (P)	O: Shri Sayajirao Bhimrao Dessai.	145

1	2	3
<i>Boundaries :</i>		
North : S. No. 49/8 & 49/14.		
South : S. No. 49/16 & 49/14.		
East : S. No. 49/14.		
West : Road.		
		Total: 845

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Ashutosh Apte, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).
Porvorim, 12th April, 2013.

Corrigendum

No. 16/5/2012-RD

Read: Notification No. 16/5/2012-RD dated 08-03-2013.

In the Notification referred to above, at line 3, para 2, the word "Sakhali" shall be read as "Sankhali".

This issues with the approval of Government.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Ashutosh Apte, Under Secretary (Revenue-I).
Porvorim, 12th April, 2013.

www.goaprintingpress.gov.in

Published and Printed by the Director, Printing & Stationery,
Government Printing Press,
Mahatma Gandhi Road, Panaji-Goa 403 001.

PRICE—Rs. 16.00

PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT PRINTING PRESS, PANAJI-GOA-17/350-4/2013.